# PROGRAMS, PROCESSES, PEOPLE, PERSPECTIVES

and

WHAT IS INFORMATICS?

K Nygaard



Rapporteur: Neil Henderson

3





U. Newcastle







### PROGRAMS, PROCESSES, PEOPLE, PERSPECTIVES

### **People and Computers:**

The problems of creating and living with highly sophisticated systems that necessarily involve computers, networks, and large groups of people

### Informatics:

The study of the creation and operation of systems of computers and people in networks



People and Computers-3

### PROGRAMS, PROCESSES, PEOPLE, PERSPECTIVES

NEWCASTLE '99

**NEWCASTLE '9** 

# Informatics

Informatics is the science that has as its domain information processes and related phenomena in artifacts, society and nature

Informatics

PEOPLE AND COMPUTERS © Kristen Nygaard, 1999

1965-67	Growing concerns within unions in Norway. Use by employers of object-oriented programming (SIMULA) to reorganise work
1967-70	Contact between unions and scientists. Discussions, then ideas for an active strateg
1971-73	"Iron and Metal Workers' Project".Cooperatic between scientists, local and central echelons Of Norway's at that time strongest union.
1973	First course on "Computers and Society" at the University of Oslo. (Now compulsory for all students of informatics.)

Timetable -1

© Kristen Nygaard, 1999

Timetable -2		
1974	First local Data(Technology) Agreement. Active interest starting in Sweden (unions and scientists) and Denmark (students).	
1975	Nation-wide Data Agreements. Union Projec ("Demos") started in Sweden. First Aarhus Conference bringing together unionists, scientists, students and managers.	
1973-77	UNITE (Union Net for Information, Teamwor and Education) project starts the active use and development of information technology locally and centrally in unions in Norway.	
1985	The second Aarhus Conference (for scientists from many countries) summing up what has been achieved and what ought to be done.	
1995	The third Aarhus Conference.	



PEOPLE AND COMPUTERS

Ø Kristen Nygaard, 19

	<b>Conflict</b> perspective	Harmon) perspective
Observed	Apparent	Manifest
harmony	harmony	harmony
Observed	Manifest	Apparent
conflict	conflict	conflict







NEWCAST

confluction

Notions like conflicting values, interests, power cannot be properly treated by quantitative methods

**PEOPLE AND COMPUTERS** 

© Kristen Nygaard, 199





# <section-header><section-header><section-header><text><text><text><text><text>

Unemployment and Technology

PEOPLE AND COMPUTERS © Kristen Nygaard, 1999







NEWCASTLE

A Necessary Condition for Responsibility in Skills and Professions:

A person may be made responsible for her/his decisions

only if she/he may reasonably be regarded as being responsible for the selection and use of the knowledge base involved in the decision.

PEOPLE AND COMPUTERS © Kristen Nygaard, 1999

























© Kristen Nygaard, 1999



is human or machine.

E

furing's Criterior

© Kristen Nygaard, 1999

PEOPLE AND COMPUTERS



PEOPLE AND COMPUTERS © Kristen Nygaard, 1999



© Kristen Nygaard, 1999

NEWCASTLE '99

Alternative Criterion











& Kristen Nygaard, 1999

The Scandinavian School - Information system research

## PROGRAMS, PROCESSES, PEOPLE, PERSPECTIVES

Informatics should be defined like most other sciences (and unlike mathematics) as:

V.26

The study by scientific methods of a domain of phenomena and a perspective selecting a set of characteristics of those phenomena

That is, like physics, chemistry, botany, sociology, political science

PEOPLE AND COMPUTERS

PEOPLE AND COMPUTERS

Ø Kristen Nygaard, 199

© Kristen Nygaard, 199

PROGRAMS, PROCESSES, PEOPLE, PERSPECTIVES

# Informatics

Informatics is the science that has as its domain information processes and related phenomena in artifacts, society and nature

### PROGRAMS, PROCESSES, PEOPLE, PERSPECTIVES Aspects of Sciences 1. Observation: The empirical study of the phenomena their identification, observed properties and behaviour. (Tycho Brahe in astronomy, Carl von Linné in botany.) 2. Analysis: Comprehension and explanation of phenomena in terms of an underlying theory. Identification of important properties and concepts, relations between properties and concepts, description and predictions of behaviour. (Isaac Newton in astronomy, Charles Robert Darwin in biology.) 3. Synthesis, construction, technology : Knowledge organized for the purpose of designing, generating or modifying phenomena. (Edward Teller in nuclear physics.) 4. Multiperspective reflection: The concurrent or alternating use of several perspectives in the consideration of phenomena, from within the same science (light: as waves - Christiaan Huygens, as particles - Isaac Newton), or from different sciences (civilisations: Karl Marx in economy, Talcott Parsons in sociology).

The study of how changes introduced according to one wiewpoint affect properties of the phenomena when

NEWCA

regarded from another viewpoint.

PEOPLE AND COMPUTERS © Kristen Nygaard, 1999









NEWCASTLE

Process examples (1

**PEOPLE AND COMPUTERS** 

Ø Kristen Nygaard, 1999



A process is a phenomenon that we choose to regard as a development of a part of the world through transformations during a time interval called its life span.

NEWCASTLE

Process

The basic qualities of a process are

- its substance,
- its states,
- its transitions, and
- its structure.

PEOPLE AND COMPUTERS

© Kristen Nygaard, 1999







state.

PEOPLE AND COMPUTERS

Ø Kristen Nygaard, 1999





The abstraction of the three basic qualities of information processes (and information systems)

 substance : the class declaration (records, files)

 state - measurable properties with values : the type declaration (quantities, integer and real variables, etc.)

 transition - transformation, action: the procedure declaration.

NEWCASTLE

Abstraction of the Three Bas

PEOPLE AND COMPUTERS

© Kristen Nygaard, 1999



- The substance of the system is organised as objects, building the system's components
- A measurable property of the substance is a property of an object
- •Transformations of state are regarded as being the result of actions of objects
- In object oriented programming an information process (program execution) is regarded as a system developing through transformations of its state

NEWCASTLE

Object Original Programmin

PEOPLE AND COMPUTERS

Ø Kristen Nygaard, 1999


PEOPLE AND COMPUTERS © Kristen Nyggard, 1999

•

Underspecification leads to less robust system







### -

WHAT IS INFORMATICS?

- n.[Fr. manoeuvre, orig., hand labour < L. manu operare, to work by hand] ...
- 3. any movement or procedure intended as a skillful or shrewd step toward some objective. ....
- •- vi., vt. ...

Manoeuvre

- 3. to move, lead, get, put, make, compel, etc. (a person or thing) by some stratagem or scheme.
- (Webster, New World Dictionary, 1984)

PEOPLE AND COMPUTERS

© Kristen Nygaard, 1999



time consuming actions, as, e.g. the heating of ore to the melting point within a furnace, or the traversing of a crane from one point to another, or discussing which decision alternative to choose, all being executed during a time interval.

instantaneous actions, as, e.g., the leaving of a queue, or the selection of which ship in a queue of waiting ships should be allowed to occupy an empty quay position in a busy harbour, considered as initiated, executed and completed at a discrete point in time."

PEOPLE AND COMPUTERS

# NEWCASTLE '99

















Theatre and operat	ing system functio
Stage	Man/machine system
Ensemble	People and hardware
Performance	Program execution
Actors, props etc.	People, objects
Stage director	Operating system
Artistic director	Site manager
Playwright	Programmer
Play	Program
Play->script adaptation	Compilation
Stage directions	Program's OS demand
Staging	OS activities:
	Link, Load, Run, Interrupt I/O File handling, Communication Exception handling

& Kristen Nygaard, 1999

Theatre and operating system functions





## I. The Sciences

Scientific calculations. Algorithms. Languages. Correctness. Provability. Emerging from Departments of Mathematics

## 2. Construction

Digital electronics. Engineering calculations. Packages. Case tools. Software engineering. Emerging from Departments of engineering.

## 3. Administration

Accounting. Databases. Management information systems. Organisation. Decision support. Emerging from Schools of business administration

Three traditions in informatic

NEWCASTLE

PEOPLE AND COMPUTERS Ø Kristen Nygiard, 1999



### DISCUSSION

#### Rapporteur: Neil Henderson

#### Lecture One

Professor Nygaard talked about the challenges of creating and living with sophisticated computer systems, such as the impact on employment and the requirement for proper training. He also pointed out that, in general, there is conflict between at least two parties when a new system is implemented, for example between an employer who may wish to automate a process to reduce costs and the users who may loose their jobs as a result of the automation. Also when a system is used to achieve a particular goal often there is conflict, for example when a system is used to determine where budget cuts should occur between different departments in an organisation, and it is the party that can use it best that wins.

There is an issue of whether the records on a system should be open, for example to see if a doctor's diagnosis for a patient was correct. Obviously there are implications for the patient whose medical records were accessed, but also they could be used to assess the ability of individual doctors to see if they were making correct diagnoses. Dr Davis pointed out that an incorrect diagnosis may not be the doctor's fault - it may be affected by incorrect answers by the patient to questions asked by the doctor during the consultation.

The speaker then introduced the notion of "cages" for information and accepted Professor Martin's point that it is not necessarily just information that needed to be "caged".

The talk concluded with the notion that an individual's view of a system is very much influenced by the perspective that they are viewing it from. A company wants the best system, but at an acceptable price, whereas a user wants a system that is easy to use. Professor Martin hypothesised that science is generally outside of a problem looking in and the speaker had provided some very convincing arguments that computing professionals were inside the problem. Professor Nygaard agreed that this was an issue and that the social perspective needed to be taken into account.

#### Lecture Two

Professor Nygaard propounded that the properties of information systems are dependent on the processes used to develop them. He defined a process as a phenomenon that we choose to regard as a development of part of the world through transformations throughout its lifetime - that a process consists of substance, state, transitions and instructions. Professor Mamdami asked if he considered a process only to be dynamic and Professor Malek stated that by this definition a state machine is not a process, but is the execution of a process. The speaker agreed that a process was dynamic and indicated he felt a static process was meaningless. Professor Cockton added that he felt these questions about Professor Nygaard's definition were an issue of perspective - that computer scientists tend to stick to a sub set of language and have their own definitions of the world based on that restricted perspective. They do not feel happy with wider definitions and that he found this point of view strange, as someone coming from other disciplinary perspectives.

Mr Yapp then added that processes do not always produce the same output and it is necessary to know whether the different outputs are as a result of something within the system or because of external influences, and the results therefore need to be analysed from a statistical perspective. The variability of outputs impact on people and technology and cannot be ignored. Professor Nygaard agreed that these influences were important and they should always be considered.

Professor Mamdami asked if a process always had to have substance and Dr Davis added that sometimes it is not possible to measure everything concerned with a process. Professor Malek added that every program was surely an infinite number of processes. Professor Nygaard answered that he felt processes did always have substance and that it was important to observe Professor Mamdami observed that causation was not part of the definition given for a process and Professor Nygaard answered that by his definition the sequence of changes of state comprised the observation of the process.